		Code						Related
#	Date / Time	Amendment	Support or Opposition	First Name	Last Name	Email	Comments	Documents
	2007 11110	7	опрестол орросии					
							My name is Michael Jones, and I am a slip holder at 31st Street	
							Harbor. I am writing to express my concern regarding the proposed	
							amendment to Chapter VIII, Section F.5 of the Chicago Park District	
							Code related to harbor use.	
							Limiting appeal rights to a single form of judicial review may restrict	
							fair access to justice for boaters, permit applicants, and harbor users.	
							The current language allows for multiple paths to challenge	
							potentially unfair decisions, while the proposed amendment could	
	Tue, 5/20/2025 -						make appeals more costly and difficult, especially for everyday	
1	7:07 PM	Chapter VIII	Opposition	Michael	Jones		residents who may not have access to legal resources.	
							To Whom It May Concern,	
							I am writing to express my concern regarding the proposed	
							amendment to Chapter VIII, Section F.5 of the Chicago Park District	
							Code related to harbor use. Limiting appeal rights to a single form of	
							judicial review may restrict fair access to justice for boaters, permit	
							applicants, and harbor users. The current language allows for	
							multiple paths to challenge potentially unfair decisions, while the	
							proposed amendment could make appeals more costly and difficult,	
							particularly for residents without legal representation. I respectfully	
							request the Board to reconsider or further clarify the reasons for	
							removing the reference to the Illinois Administrative Review Law and	
							limiting appeal options. Transparency and accessibility should remain	
							top priorities when making decisions that affect public access to city	
	T						harbors. Thank you for the opportunity to provide input.	
2	Tue, 5/20/2025 - 7:53 PM	Chantar VIII	Opposition	Kamilah	White		Sincerely, Kamilah White	
	7:53 PIVI	Chapter VIII	Opposition	Kamilah	wnite		Boater, 31st Street Harbor Chicago, IL  I oppose this change. Long time boating permit holder who has	
	Wed,						invested so much money in renting a slip with very little guarantees,	
	5/21/2025 -						improvements or protections. Please do not take away more rights	
3		Chapter VIII	Opposition	Christopher Pe	Christonher Pe		from us	
	Wed,	Chapter viii	opposition	cistopher re	ciscopiici i c		The proposed changes do not seem fair, nor do they make any sense.	
	5/21/2025 -						For what we pay, I should have a straightforward way to dispute	
4		Chapter VIII	Opposition	Doug	Dillingham		permit decisions.	
		-		-			l object to the proposed change. There is no clear rationale stated to	
							change from an appeal via Illinois Administrative Review law to an	
	Wed,						outdated, complicated, and limited legal process called a writ of	
	5/21/2025 -						certiorari. The change has no structure, protections, or	1
5		Chapter VIII	Opposition	David	Henderson		accountability.	
	Wed,							
_	5/21/2025 -	Charter MIII	On a saikin a	India Canda	India Canda		1 de	
6	2:42 PM	Chapter VIII	Opposition	Julio Cardona	Julio Cardona		I do not agree with this acción	Letter of
	Wed,							Opposition David
	vveu, 5/21/2025 -							Brezina_Chapter VIII
7		Chapter VIII	Opposition	David	Brezina		See attached Related Document.	Code Amendments
,	T.17 L IAI	Chapter vill	Оррозиюн	Daviu	DIEZIIIA		oce attached helated botallient.	code Amendments

			Code						Related
ш	_			Commont on Ommonition	First Name	Lost Nome	F	Commonts	
#	D	ate / Time	Amendment	Support or Opposition	First Name	Last Name	Email	Comments	Documents
								To Whom It May Concern,	
								My name is Michael Jones, and I am a slip holder at 31st Street	
								Harbor. I am writing to express my concern regarding the proposed	
								amendment to Chapter VIII, Section F.5 of the Chicago Park District Code related to harbor use.	
								Code related to flarbor use.	
								Limiting appeal rights to a single form of judicial review may restrict	
								fair access to justice for boaters, permit applicants, and harbor users.	
								The current language allows for multiple paths to challenge	
								potentially unfair decisions, while the proposed amendment could	
								make appeals more costly and difficult, especially for everyday	
								residents who may not have access to legal resources.	
								As an active member of the harbor community, I believe it's	1
								important that the appeal process remains accessible, transparent,	
								and equitable. I respectfully request the Board to reconsider or	
								further clarify the rationale for removing the reference to the Illinois	
								Administrative Review Law and limiting legal options for review.	
								Thank you for the opportunity to provide input.	
								Thank you for the opportunity to provide input.	
	w	/ed,						Sincerely,	
		/21/2025 -						Michael Jones	
8	4:2	22 PM	Chapter VIII	Opposition	Michael Jones	Michael Jones		Slip Holder, 31st Street Harbor	
								To Whom It May Concern,	
								I am writing to express my concern regarding the proposed	1
								amendment to Chapter VIII, Section F.5 of the Chicago Park District Code related to harbor use.	1
								Limiting appeal rights to a single form of judicial review may restrict	1
								fair access to justice for boaters, permit applicants, and harbor users.	
								The current language allows for multiple paths to challenge	
								potentially unfair decisions, while the proposed amendment could	
								make appeals more costly and difficult, particularly for residents	
								without legal representation.	
								I respectfully request the Board to reconsider or further clarify the	
								reasons for removing the reference to the Illinois Administrative	1
								Review Law and limiting appeal options. Transparency and	
								accessibility should remain top priorities when making decisions that	1
								affect public access to city harbors.	1
								Thank you for the opportunity to provide input.	
	١,,,	/od						Singarah	
		/ed, /21/2025 -						Sincerely, Chris Thorns	
9			Chapter VIII	Opposition	Chris	Thorns		Residential Boater, The Blueprint, 31st Habor	1
3	4:	JU FIVI	спарты АШ	Obhosition	CITIS	11101115		mesidential boater, The bideprint, 31st Habor	L

		Code						Related
#	Date / Time		Support or Opposition	First Name	Last Name	Fmail	Comments	Documents
#	Date / Time	Amendment	Support of Opposition	Til St Ivallie	Last Wallie	Liliali	Comments	Documents
							To Whom It May Concern, I am writing to express my concern regarding the proposed amendment to Chapter VIII, Section F.5 of the Chicago Park District Code related to harbor use. Limiting appeal rights to a single form of judicial review may restrict fair access to justice for boaters, permit applicants, and harbor users. The current language allows for multiple paths to challenge potentially unfair decisions, while the proposed amendment could make appeals more costly and difficult, particularly for residents without legal representation. I respectfully request the Board to reconsider or further clarify the reasons for removing the reference to the Illinois Administrative Review Law and limiting appeal options. Transparency and	
							accessibility should remain top priorities when making decisions that affect public access to city harbors. $ \\$	
							Thank you for the opportunity to provide input.	
	Wed,						Sincerely,	
	5/21/2025 -						Troy Thorns	
10	5:13 PM	Chapter VIII	Opposition	Troy	Thorns		Residential Boater, The Blueprint, 31st Habor	
							To Whom It May Concern,  I am writing to express my concern regarding the proposed amendment to Chapter VIII, Section F.5 of the Chicago Park District Code related to harbor use. Limiting appeal rights to a single form of judicial review may restrict fair access to justice for boaters, permit applicants, and harbor users. The current language allows for multiple paths to challenge potentially unfair decisions, while the proposed amendment could make appeals more costly and difficult, particularly for residents without legal representation.  I respectfully request the Board to reconsider or further clarify the reasons for removing the reference to the Illinois Administrative	
11	Wed, 5/21/2025 - 5:15 PM	Chapter VIII	Opposition	Dajia	Pleasant		Review Law and limiting appeal options. Transparency and accessibility should remain top priorities when making decisions that affect public access to city harbors. Thank you for the opportunity to provide input. Sincerely, LaDajia Thorns Residential Boater, The Blueprint, 31st Habor	
17	Wed, 5/21/2025 - 7:22 PM	Chapter VIII	Opposition	Scott	Rickey		Chicago-style corruption that the marina system was known for in the 80s. Keep it fair for everyone. Please keep it fair….  Dusable Harbor A-10	
_		Louis August August 1	opposition	33311	· ···onc y		Second   14.20. // 10	l

		Code						Related
#	Date / Time	Amendment	Support or Opposition	First Name	Last Name	Email	Comments	Documents
							To Whom It May Concern,	
							As a member of the Chicago boating community, I would like to formally express my concern regarding the proposed amendment to	
							Chapter VIII, Section F.5 of the Code of the Chicago Park District,	
							which limits appeal rights solely to judicial review by a writ of	
							certiorari.	
							This change significantly restricts the ability of permittees and	
							applicants to seek fair and accessible recourse when decisions are	
							made that affect their use of Chicago's harbors. Under the	
							current process, the Illinois Administrative Review Law offers broader protections and a more balanced review procedure.	
							Transitioning to a writ of certiorari imposes unnecessary legal	
							burdens on boaters and diminishes transparency and accountability	
							in decision-making.	
							I respectfully urge the Park District to reconsider this proposed	
							amendment and preserve a fair and accessible appeals process for all	
							harbor users.	
	Wed,						Sincerely,	
	5/21/2025 -						Braden Radunzel	
13	7:50 PM	Chapter VIII	Opposition	Braden	Radunzel		5/21/25	
							I oppose the proposed change to the current appeal Process and	
							urge you to leave administrative review in place as the means for	
							appeal adverse decisions. The complete uncertainty of the writ	
							process is simply not a solution as compared to the well defined	
	Mon,						administrative review process. There is no reason to make this	
1.4	5/26/2025 -	Chantar VIII	Onnosition	Dave	Fournier		change other than to make it more difficult and confusing for	
14	4:54 PM	Chapter VIII	Opposition	Dave	Fournier		appeals to be heard.	